

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                      | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/635,678   | 08/07/2003           | Minoru Sunadome      | Q76610                 | 2690             |  |
| 23373  | 7590 04/18/2005      |                      | EXAM                   | EXAMINER         |  |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC<br>2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. |                      |                      | WILSON, LEE D          |                  |  |
| SUITE 800  | o i Lvania avenue, n | l. W.                | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| WASHINGT   | ON, DC 20037         |                      | 3723                   |                  |  |
|  |                      |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/18/200 | 5                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |    |
|---|---|--|----|
|   | 10/635,678  | SUNADOME, MINORU   |    |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |    |
|   | LEE D WILSON  | 3723   |    |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply   | ppears on the cover sheet w   | th the correspondence address -  |    |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a i eply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al | eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  JANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |    |
| Status  |   |  |    |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | <del></del>   |  |    |
| ·—  | nis action is non-final.  |  |    |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow<br>closed in accordance with the practice under   |   |  |    |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |    |
| 4)  Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdenoted 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | rawn from consideration.  |  |    |
| Application Papers  |   |  |    |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami   | ner.  |  |    |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  | ccepted or b)☐ objected to  | by the Examiner.   |    |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | <del>-</del> ',   | • •  |    |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | •   | , ,  | ). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |    |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign     a) All b) Some * c) None of:     1. Certified copies of the priority docume     2. Certified copies of the priority docume     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume     application from the International Bures*     * See the attached detailed Office action for a light   | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in A<br>riority documents have been<br>eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | pplication No<br>received in this National Stage   |    |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |    |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview  | Summary (PTO-413)  |    |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0  | Paper No(   | s)/Mail Date  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |    |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) Other:   |  |    |

Application/Control Number: 10/635,678 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - Claims 3 and 5 should clearly recite the perpendicular steps of the boss.
     At present these limitations are awkwardly written.
  - b. Claim 4 should recite that the gap between the step is vertical so as to provide some orientation that defines the gap according to the disclosure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Waldner et al (5566840).

Waldner discloses a positioning structure havina main member ((10), a boss (16) which is stepped because of decreasing tapered shape, and a plurality of member (12&14) with through holes.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/635,678 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

3. Claims 3-5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Witte, MacLaren, and Hertz et al disclose a device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

PRIMARY EXAMINER

April 11, 2005